

# Medicine Lodge Subbasin Assessment and TMDLs

---



**February 18, 2003**

# **Medicine Lodge Subbasin Assessment and TMDLs**

**February 18, 2003**

**Prepared by:**

**Kathleen McKinley, University of Idaho  
Dinah Reaney, State Office of Technical Services  
Idaho Department of Environmental Quality  
900 N. Skyline Suite B  
Idaho Falls, ID 83402**

## Acknowledgments

---

Many individuals assisted in preparing this report by providing important information and critical review of earlier drafts, making a more complete and accurate document than would otherwise have been possible. Thanks are due to the entire water quality group in the Idaho Falls Regional office, including Steve Robinson, Troy Saffle, Sheryl Hill, Melissa Thompson, and Tom Herron, for their help in putting the document together. Steve Robinson also contributed immensely in the collection of field data. Mark Shumar was a contributing author and reviewer, and Don Zaroban and Bill Clark, all of the DEQ central office also provided valuable aid in putting the document together. BLM's Dan Kotansky and Pat Koelsch provided water quality data, PFC information and fisheries information. Eliot Traher, with the Idaho Association of Soil Conservation Districts, provided streambank erosion inventory data that he collected and analyzed in the subbasin which became the basis for the TMDL. Elliot also provided critical review of the draft document and aided in manipulating GIS covers. Mike Philbin, Rob Mickelson and Jim Capurso with the USFS provided water quality, fisheries, and range management data for the subbasin. Idaho Fish and Game's Mark Gamblin provided fisheries data. Dave Hoover with the NRCS central office provided all of the information for the soil section. Loyd Bradshaw, Cleve Bagely, and Robert Lehman, of the NRCS Rexburg office provided information and data on water quality improvement projects that have taken place over the years. The Teton Regional Land Trust also provided information on work they have done to improve land in Medicine Lodge.

## Table of Contents

---

Acknowledgments .....	i
Table of Contents .....	iii
List of Tables .....	v
List of Figures .....	vii
List of Appendices .....	ix
Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols .....	x
Executive Summary .....	xiii
Subbasin at a Glance .....	xiii
Key Findings .....	xv
1. Subbasin Assessment – Watershed Characterization .....	1
1.1 Introduction .....	1
Background .....	1
Idaho’s Role .....	2
Climate .....	4
Subbasin Characteristics .....	7
Hydrography/Hydrology .....	7
Geology .....	11
Soils .....	13
Fisheries .....	20
Sub-Watershed Characteristics .....	23
Stream Characteristics .....	25
1.3 Cultural Characteristics .....	26
Land Use .....	27
Land Ownership .....	29
2. Subbasin Assessment – Water Quality Concerns and Status .....	31
2.1 Water Quality Limited Segments Occurring in the Subbasin .....	31
2.2 Applicable Water Quality Standards .....	33
2.3 Summary and Analysis of Existing Water Quality Data .....	38
Flow Characteristics .....	38
Water Column data .....	42
Conclusions .....	65

2.4 Data Gaps .....	66
3.0. Subbasin Assessment – Pollutant Source Inventory .....	69
3.1 Sources of Pollutants of Concern .....	69
3.2 Data Gaps .....	71
4. Subbasin Assessment – Summary of Past and Present Pollution Control Efforts.....	73
5. Total Maximum Daily Load(s).....	75
5.1 Instream Water Quality Targets.....	76
Design Conditions.....	76
Target Selection .....	77
Monitoring Points .....	78
5.2 Load Capacity .....	79
5.3 Estimates of Existing Pollutant Loads .....	80
5.4 Load Allocation.....	82
Margin of Safety .....	85
Background.....	86
Reserve.....	86
5.5 Conclusions.....	86
References Cited.....	89

## List of Tables

---

Table A. Summary of assessment outcomes for which TMDLs were developed.....	xviii
Table 1. Summary of climate data collected from January 1, 1925 to April 30, 2000 at Dubois, Idaho .....	7
Table 2. USGS Gauging Station .....	8
Table 3. Medicine Lodge Subbasin STATSGO soil summary .....	14
Table 4. Physical attributes of 5 <sup>th</sup> field HUCs in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin .....	23
Table 5. Geomorphic Characteristics of streams in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin.....	25
Table 6. Land use in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin (Anderson Level I).....	27
Table 7. Land ownership in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin.....	29
Table 8 1998 303 (d) streams in the Medicine Lodge Watershed.....	33
Table 9. Designated Beneficial Uses for Medicine Lodge Subbasin .....	37
Table 10. 2000 DEQ Temperature data and number of days where water temperatures exceeded the Salmonid Spawning Criteria during the entire monitoring period. ....	43
Table 11. 1997-1998 BLM data, and Exceedances of the Salmonid Spawning Criteria .....	44
Table 12. 2000 USFS data and Exceedances of the Salmonid Spawning Criteria .....	45
Table 13. DEQ Sediment Data.....	48
Table 14. Depth Fines .....	51

<b>Table 15. Occurrence of fish and number of salmonid age classes in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>Table 16. Idaho Department of Fish and Game Fish Summary .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Table 17. U.S. Forest Service Fish Summary .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Table 18. IASCD Irving Creek Streambank Assessment Summary.....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Table 19. IASCD Edie Creek Streambank Assessment Summary .....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Table 20. IASCD Fritz Creek Streambank Assessment Summary .....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Table 21. IASCD Medicine Lodge Creek Streambank Assessment Summary .</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Table 22. BLM Summary of Medicine Lodge Stream Riparian Condition (1993-2000) .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Table. 23 Sediment Load Capacity .....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>Table. 24 Estimated Current Load for Sediment in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin.....</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>Table. 25 Estimated Current Load for Temperature in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin.....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>Table 26. Existing and Proposed Sediment Erosion and Associated Reductions.....</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Table 27. Existing and Proposed Temperature Loads and Reductions for Salmonid Spawning. ....</b>	<b>83</b>

## List of Figures

---

Figure 1. Location of the Medicine Lodge Watershed .....	4
Figure 2. Medicine Lodge Watershed .....	6
Figure 3. Average Monthly Temperature for Dubois, ID .....	9
Figure 4. Average Monthly Precipitation for Dubois, ID .....	9
Figure 5. 1995 Precipitation .....	10
Figure 6. Geology of the Medicine Lodge Watershed .....	12
Figure 7. STATSGO Map Unit Identifications .....	16
Figure 8. Soil Erosion Potential .....	17
Figure 9. Soils Slope .....	18
Figure 10. Soil Depth .....	19
Figure 11. Idaho Fish and Game Rainbow Trout Stocking Records .....	21
Figure 12. Yellowstone Cutthroat Trout Distribution .....	22
Figure 13. 5th Field Watersheds .....	24
Figure 14. Land Use in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin .....	28
Figure 15. Land Ownership in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin .....	30
Figure 16. Medicine Lodge Subbasin 303(d) Listed Streams .....	32
Figure 17. Average Monthly Discharge for Medicine Lodge Creek .....	39



**Figure 18. USGS historical daily values graph for Medicine Lodge Creek near Small, ID, station number 13116500, for dates 04/19/1921 through 10/30/1999 39**

**Figure 19. USGS historical streamflow daily values graph for *Medicine Lodge Creek near Small, ID*, station number 13116500, for dates 04/19/21 through 12/01/1923. ....40**

**Figure 20. USGS historical streamflow daily values graph for *Medicine Lodge Creek near Small, ID*, station number 13116500, for dates 10/18/1941 - 02/02/1949.....40**

**Figure 21. USGS historical streamflow daily values graph for *Medicine Lodge Creek near Small, ID*, station number 13116500 for dates 05/07/1985 through 09/30/1996.....41**

**Figure 22. USGS historical streamflow daily values graph for *Medicine Lodge Creek near Small, ID*, station number 13116500 for dates 10/01/1997 through 09/30/1999.....41**

**Figure 23. Thermograph Sampling Sites .....46**

**Figure 24. DEQ BURP Sites.....50**

## List of Appendices

---

Appendix A. Metric – English Unit Conversion Chart.....	117
Appendix B. Water Body Identification Numbers .....	119
Appendix C. Depth Fines Data .....	121
Appendix D. Streamabnk Erosion Inventory Methods and Results.....	133
Appendix E Temperature Collection Sites .....	143
Appendix F. Draft Implementation Plan .....	149
Appendix G. Public Comments .....	173

## Abbreviations, Acronyms, and Symbols

---

<b>303(d)</b>	Refers to section 303 subsection (d) of the Clean Water Act, or a list of impaired water bodies required by this section	<b>CW</b>	cold water
<b>μ</b>	micro, one-one thousandth	<b>CWA</b>	Clean Water Act
<b>§</b>	Section (usually a section of federal or state rules or statutes)	<b>CWE</b>	cumulative watershed effects
<b>ADB</b>	assessment database	<b>DEQ</b>	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
<b>AWS</b>	agricultural water supply	<b>DO</b>	dissolved oxygen
<b>BAG</b>	Basin Advisory Group	<b>DOI</b>	U.S. Department of the Interior
<b>BLM</b>	United States Bureau of Land Management	<b>DWS</b>	domestic water supply
<b>BMP</b>	best management practice	<b>EMAP</b>	Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program
<b>BOD</b>	biochemical oxygen demand	<b>EPA</b>	United States Environmental Protection Agency
<b>BOR</b>	United States Bureau of Reclamation	<b>ESA</b>	Endangered Species Act
<b>Btu</b>	British thermal unit	<b>F</b>	Fahrenheit
<b>BURP</b>	Beneficial Use Reconnaissance Program	<b>FPA</b>	Idaho Forest Practices Act
<b>C</b>	Celsius	<b>FWS</b>	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
<b>CFR</b>	Code of Federal Regulations (refers to citations in the federal administrative rules)	<b>GIS</b>	Geographical Information Systems
<b>cfs</b>	cubic feet per second	<b>HUC</b>	Hydrologic Unit Code
<b>cm</b>	centimeters	<b>I.C.</b>	Idaho Code
		<b>IDAPA</b>	Refers to citations of Idaho administrative rules
		<b>IDFG</b>	Idaho Department of Fish and Game
		<b>IDL</b>	Idaho Department of Lands

<b>IDWR</b>	Idaho Department of Water Resources	<b>NPDES</b>	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
<b>INFISH</b>	The federal Inland Native Fish Strategy	<b>NRCS</b>	Natural Resources Conservation Service
<b>IRIS</b>	Integrated Risk Information System	<b>NTU</b>	nephelometric turbidity unit
<b>km</b>	kilometer	<b>ORV</b>	off-road vehicle
<b>km<sup>2</sup></b>	square kilometer	<b>ORW</b>	Outstanding Resource Water
<b>LA</b>	load allocation	<b>PACFISH</b>	The federal Pacific Anadromous Fish Strategy
<b>LC</b>	load capacity	<b>PFC</b>	proper functioning condition
<b>m</b>	meter	<b>PCR</b>	primary contact recreation
<b>m<sup>3</sup></b>	cubic meter	<b>ppm</b>	part(s) per million
<b>mi</b>	mile	<b>QA</b>	quality assurance
<b>mi<sup>2</sup></b>	square miles	<b>QC</b>	quality control
<b>MBI</b>	macroinvertebrate biotic index	<b>RBP</b>	rapid bioassessment protocol
<b>MGD</b>	million gallons per day	<b>RDI</b>	DEQ's river diatom index
<b>mg/l</b>	milligrams per liter	<b>RFI</b>	DEQ's river fish index
<b>mm</b>	millimeter	<b>RHCA</b>	riparian habitat conservation area
<b>MOS</b>	margin of safety	<b>RMI</b>	DEQ's river macroinvertebrate index
<b>MWMT</b>	maximum weekly maximum temperature	<b>RPI</b>	DEQ's river physiochemical index
<b>n.a.</b>	not applicable	<b>SBA</b>	subbasin assessment
<b>NA</b>	not assessed	<b>SCR</b>	secondary contact recreation
<b>NB</b>	natural background	<b>SFI</b>	DEQ's stream fish index
<b>nd</b>	no data (data not available)	<b>SHI</b>	DEQ's stream habitat index
<b>NFS</b>	not fully supporting		

<b>SMI</b>	DEQ's stream macroinvertebrate index	<b>USGS</b>	United States Geological Survey
<b>SRP</b>	soluble reactive phosphorus	<b>WAG</b>	Watershed Advisory Group
<b>SS</b>	salmonid spawning	<b>WBAG</b>	<i>Water Body Assessment Guidance</i>
<b>SSOC</b>	stream segment of concern	<b>WBID</b>	water body identification number
<b>STATSGO</b>	State Soil Geographic Database	<b>WET</b>	whole effluence toxicity
<b>TDG</b>	total dissolved gas	<b>WLA</b>	waste load allocation
<b>TDS</b>	total dissolved solids	<b>WQLS</b>	water quality limited segment
<b>T&amp;E</b>	threatened and/or endangered species	<b>WQMP</b>	water quality management plan
<b>TIN</b>	total inorganic nitrogen	<b>WQRP</b>	water quality restoration plan
<b>TKN</b>	total Kjeldahl nitrogen	<b>WQS</b>	water quality standard
<b>TMDL</b>	total maximum daily load		
<b>TP</b>	total phosphorus		
<b>TS</b>	total solids		
<b>TSS</b>	total suspended solids		
<b>t/y</b>	tons per year		
<b>U.S.</b>	United States		
<b>USC</b>	United States Code		
<b>USDA</b>	United States Department of Agriculture		
<b>USDI</b>	United States Department of the Interior		
<b>USFS</b>	United States Forest Service		

## Executive Summary

---

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA) requires that states and tribes restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters (33 USC § 1251.101). States and tribes, pursuant to section 303 of the CWA are to adopt water quality standards necessary to protect fish, shellfish, and wildlife while providing for recreation in and on the waters whenever possible. Section 303(d) of the CWA establishes requirements for states and tribes to identify and prioritize water bodies that are water quality limited (i.e., water bodies that do not meet water quality standards). States and tribes must periodically publish a priority list of impaired waters, currently every two years. For waters identified on this list, states and tribes must develop a total maximum daily load (TMDL) for the pollutants, set at a level to achieve water quality standards. This document addresses the water bodies in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin that have been placed on what is known as the "303(d) list."

This subbasin assessment and TMDL analysis has been developed to comply with Idaho's TMDL schedule. This assessment describes the physical, biological, and cultural setting; water quality status; pollutant sources; and recent pollution control actions in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin located in southeastern Idaho. The first part of this document, the subbasin assessment, is an important first step in leading to the TMDL. The starting point for this assessment was Idaho's current 303(d) list of water quality limited water bodies. Five segments of the Medicine Lodge Subbasin were listed on this list. The subbasin assessment portion of this document examines the current status of 303(d) listed waters, and defines the extent of impairment and causes of water quality limitation throughout the subbasin. The loading analysis quantifies pollutant sources and allocates responsibility for load reductions needed to return listed waters to a condition of meeting water quality standards.

### Subbasin at a Glance

The Medicine Lodge Watershed is located in southeastern Idaho and is approximately 872 square miles in size bordering Montana to the north. The northern half of the hydrologic unit code (HUC) is rurally occupied with about one person for every two acres. The southern half of the HUC has a higher population, but does not contain any of the flowing streams of Medicine Lodge or its tributaries. Medicine Lodge sinks and is diverted very soon after the town of Small, Idaho. Crooked Creek, Warm Springs Creek, and Deep Creek are not tributaries of Medicine Lodge Creek, but flow independently in drainages to the west of Medicine Lodge. These streams also sink before reaching another water body.

Three species of salmonids have been documented in the watershed. Rainbow trout, brook trout and Yellowstone cutthroat trout are all found throughout Medicine Lodge Creek and its tributaries. The Yellowstone cutthroat trout is considered a state sensitive species and is carefully managed by the Idaho Department of Fish and Game (IDFG). Warm Springs Creek contains some warm water species of fish.

Medicine Lodge Creek's designated beneficial uses include salmonid spawning, coldwater biota, primary contact recreation, domestic water supply and special resource water. Edie Creek, Irving Creek and Fritz Creek are all protected for cold water, salmonid spawning and secondary contact recreation. Warm Springs Creek does not have any designated beneficial

uses. Assessments by the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) have identified that water quality is limited on five of the streams in the subbasin.

The DEQ has collected data throughout the subbasin and it has been determined that sediment and temperature are the primary pollutant of concern. TMDLS for sediment have been developed for Medicine Lodge Creek, Fritz Creek, and Irving Creek. Sediment load reductions are quantified through streambank erosion inventories that estimate erosion based on streambank conditions documented along the private land of the 1998 303(d) listed streams. Instream sediment targets have been identified from literature values that are supportive of salmonid spawning and cold water aquatic life. These target values will be used to track the progress of streambank recovery and determine the need for additional management practices to improve water quality.

Temperature TMDLs have been developed for all streams where thermograph data has been collected to support salmonid spawning and CWAL within those streams. Salmonid spawning has been determined an existing use for streams within the Medicine Lodge Subbasin, except for Warm Springs Creek, Divide Creek, Deep Creek, and the lower portion of Medicine Lodge Creek, due to the presence of cold water fisheries.

Nutrient TMDLs will not be written for the streams in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin since there is no observational or collected data indicating nutrient enrichment in any part of the watershed.

<b>Medicine Lodge Creek Subbasin at a Glance:</b>		
<i>Hydrologic Unit Code</i>	17040215	
<i>1998 Water Quality Limited Segments</i>	Edie Creek Fritz Creek Warm Springs Creek	Irving Creek Medicine Lodge Creek
<i>Beneficial Uses Affected</i>	Cold Water Aquatic life Salmonid Spawning Primary Contact Recreation Secondary Contact Recreation Domestic Water Supply Special Resource Water	
<i>Pollutants of Concern</i>	Sediment, Temperature, Nutrients, Flow Alteration Habitat Alteration	
<i>Major Land Uses</i>	Grazing, Irrigated Agriculture, Dryland Farming	
<i>Area</i>	872 mi <sup>2</sup>	
<i>Population (1999 Clark County)</i>	913	



## Key Findings

- The Medicine Lodge Subbasin has no known point sources of pollution within its boundaries. Sources of non-point source pollution consist of land disturbance from grazing, unmaintained roads, farming, recreation, diversions, and river adjustments after a large flooding event in 1995. The primary water quality concern within the Medicine Lodge Subbasin is related to subsurface fine sediment deposited within the stream substrate, which is likely impacting the abundance and quality of fish habitat. The primary source of sediment appears to be streambank erosion. The primary cause of streambank erosion is related to the downcutting of the stream channel and the subsequent sloughing of streambanks.
- Streambank erosion in Medicine Lodge is primarily occurring due to animal access. The majority of the watershed is used for rangeland, with few sections of riparian buffer fenced for protection. Other sources of streambank erosion are the road crossings, poor irrigation diversion gates and other upsets to the hydrologic regime. Many areas of the Medicine Lodge watershed are re-establishing a flood plain. This process will likely take many years and will result in much additional streambank erosion. Riparian vegetation will likely re-establish on outside bends in which it is absent as the re-stabilization process takes place. Additionally, as riparian conditions improve over the listed reaches in the Medicine Lodge Subbasin, the added benefit of reduced thermal loading will likely be realized and the temperature regime in these streams will likely improve. The Idaho Association of Soil Conservation Districts has also been awarded a grant to replace several of the dysfunctional diversion gates in the subbasin, which will decrease the impact they have on the area.
- The majority of the roads along Medicine Lodge Creek are paved with bridges over stream crossings that are maintained by the county. However, there are several portions of the stream, which are severely encroached by the road causing a disturbance of the natural hydrology which can cause excessive sedimentation downstream. The road up Edie Creek is a dirt road, with six undeveloped road crossings on the BLM land in the upper portions of the creek while Irving Creek has no undeveloped road crossings. These road crossings on Edie Creek and the streambank instability caused by animal access on both streams are the main sources of excess sediment.
- A numerical target has been set for sediment throughout the subbasin. The goal of the sediment TMDLs developed in Medicine Lodge is to improve the quality of spawning and incubation of substrate and rearing habitat for trout. The subsurface fine sediment target is less than or equal to 28% fine particles <6.35 (0.25 in) sediment, not including substrate larger than 63.5mm (2.5 in), in potential or known salmonid spawning habitat. This percentage has been adapted by the DEQ to be capable of supporting salmonid spawning as well as improve other aspects of salmonid spawning habitat. The strategy used to achieve this sediment target is to reduce streambank erosion, which is believed to be the main cause of excessive sedimentation into the watershed.
- The sediment load that can be assimilated by the streams in Medicine Lodge and still meet the State's water quality narrative sediment criteria is unknown. The beneficial use



of salmonid spawning is impacted by sediment loading above the assimilative capacity of the creek. The loading capacity lies somewhere between the current loading level and the sediment loading from natural streambank erosion levels. Cold water aquatic life and salmonid spawning are naturally occurring beneficial uses in Medicine Lodge Creek and its tributaries. We therefore assume that cold water aquatic life and salmonid spawning would be fully supported at natural background sediment loading rates. We also assume that natural streambank stability was equal to or greater than 80% (Overton et al. 1995).

Because the primary chronic source of sediment loading to Edie Creek, Irving Creek and Medicine Lodge Creek is streambank erosion, quantitative allocations are developed. These sediment load reductions are designed to meet the established instream water quality target of 28% or less fine sediment <6.35 mm in areas suitable for salmonid spawning. Streambank erosion reductions are quantitatively linked to tons of sediment per year. An inferential link is identified to show how sediment load allocations will reduce subsurface fine sediment to or below target levels. This link assumes that by reducing chronic sources of sediment, there will be a decrease in subsurface fine sediment that will ultimately improve the status of beneficial uses. Streambank erosion load allocation is based upon the assumption that natural background sediment production from streambanks equates to 80% streambank stability as described in Overton and others (1995), where stable banks are expressed as a percentage of the total estimated bank length. Natural condition streambank stability potential is generally 80% or greater for A, B, and C channel types in plutonic, volcanic, metamorphic and sedimentary geology types.

Based on the streambank erosion inventory of Edie Creek, the estimated total existing sediment load from streambank erosion for the segment on private land is 58.2 tons/mile/year. The estimated sediment load from streambanks that are 80% stable is 36.7 tons/mile/year. A sediment load reduction of 21.5 tons/mile/year is anticipated if 80% or greater streambank stability is achieved. Irving Creek's streambank erosion inventory estimated that the total existing sediment load from streambanks on private land is 251.5 tons/mile/year. The estimated sediment load from streambanks that are 80% stable is 89.6 tons/mile/year. A sediment load reduction of 161.9 tons/mile/year is anticipated if 80% or greater streambank stability is achieved. Based on the streambank erosion inventory of Medicine Lodge Creek, the estimated total existing sediment load from streambank erosion for private land is 83.3 tons/mile/year. The estimated sediment load from streambanks that are 80% stable is 46.0 tons/mile/year. A sediment load reduction of 37.3 tons/mile/year is anticipated if 80% or greater streambank stability is achieved.

It is anticipated that by reducing the chronic sediment load through increased streambank stability, the instream target of 28% subsurface fines will be achieved. If the instream target is attained, the beneficial use of natural spawning by salmonids should eventually be restored to full support. Streambank stability, the percentage of subsurface fines in salmonid spawning habitat and age class structure of salmonids must be monitored every other year to determine the effectiveness of land management activities and of this TMDL.

- The margin of safety (MOS) is factored into load allocations for sediment for Edie Creek, Irving Creek, and Medicine Lodge Creek. The MOS is the conservative assumptions used to develop existing sediment loads, where background conditions are more than needed to attain full support of uses are employed. Conservative assumptions made as part of the sediment loading analysis include: 1) Desired bank erosion rates are representative of background conditions of 80 %, as described in Overton and others ; 2) Water quality targets for percent depth fines of less than 28% (<6.35mm), are consistent with values measured and set by local land management agencies based on established literature values and incorporate a more than adequate level of fry survival to provide for stable salmonid production. It is assumed that the status of beneficial uses will be improved prior to the attainment of the targets of 80 % erosion rates and less than 28% depth fines in this TMDL.
- Streambank erosion is also the cause for increased temperatures throughout the Medicine Lodge Subbasin. Collected thermograph data establishes that Temperature TMDLs are necessary to meet salmonid spawning temperature criteria on all streams except Deep Creek, since there are no fish documented in this stream. Temperature TMDL load reductions were developed by quantifying daily temperature exceedances during spring and fall spawning seasons and dividing the maximum temperature exceedance collected by the salmonid spawning criteria to get a percent reduction in temperature. Of all streams sampled throughout the subbasin, Deep Creek was the only stream not needing a salmonid spawning temperature TMDL because no fish data exists for this stream. All other streams document the presence of cold water fisheries, therefore Salmonid Spawning is an existing beneficial use.
- Salmonid Spawning temperature targets developed for the Medicine Lodge Subbasin are based on existing numeric criteria of [IDAPA 58.01.02.250(02)].
- The MOS factored into load allocations for water temperature is based on the maximum observed temperature exceedances for each critical time period. Maximum exceedances of the most restrictive criteria were used to identify needed temperature reductions based upon the assumption that if temperature reductions are directed at eliminating the recorded maximum exceedance of criteria, then lesser exceedances will be eliminated during other times of the year.
- The development of an implementation plan for Medicine Lodge Creek Subbasin is currently underway and the draft plan is found in Appendix F. The implementation plan identifies Best Management Practices (BMPs) that will be implemented on streams with TMDLs throughout the subbasin to improve riparian condition and stream channel habitat and reduce streambank erosion. BMPs that will be implemented within the subbasin focus on agricultural irrigation diversions, irrigation efficiency, and prescribed livestock grazing protection.
- The information presented in this subbasin assessment indicated that the development of a total maximum daily load (TMDL) is unnecessary for Warm Springs Creek. Warm Springs Creek is on the 1998 303(d) list for nutrients and sediment and has no designated

beneficial uses. Thermograph data collected on the stream (Figure 44) indicates that the stream is naturally thermal. Only warm water species of fish have been found in the creek, and it is therefore recommended that the stream be designated for warm water aquatic life or seasonal cold water aquatic life.

- It is recommended that TMDLs for nutrients not be written for any of the streams in the watershed. There is no data that indicates excessive slime growth, and there is no observational data present indicating excessive slime growth in any part of the watershed indicating that the listing was in error. Several meetings with the Watershed Advisory Group (WAG) have taken place for the Medicine Lodge Subbasin. These have primarily been informative meetings, keeping the group involved in the pace of TMDL development and allowing time for concerns of the group to be addressed. A minimum of a 30-day public comment period and an additional WAG meeting will take place prior to EPA submittal.
- Although there is a large amount of water quality data for Medicine Lodge, it would be helpful to conduct more electrofishing on Crooked Creek. The USFS found 19 Yellowstone cutthroat trout in the creek in 1997, but did not measure the fish. We do not know how many age classes are present, and therefore cannot assess the health of the population. The DEQ also electrofished Crooked Creek in 1997 and again in 2000, but did not collect any fish.
- Additional streambank erosion inventories should also be conducted on all listed streams. The Soil Conservation Commission conducted a wealth of streambank assessment information including streambank erosion inventories for four of the streams on the 1998 303(d) list. These inventories only included private land, however, and complimentary information should be collected for the upper reaches of these streams.

**Table A. Summary of assessment outcomes for which TMDLs were developed.**

Water Body Segment	Assessment Units of ID17040215	Pollutant	TMDL(s) Developed	Recommended Changes to 303(d) List	Justification
<b>Crooked Creek</b> Headwaters to sinks	SK021_02 SK021_03	<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	Add	Temperature exceedances documented
<b>Deep Creek</b> Headwaters to sinks	SK018_02 SK018_03	<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	Add	Temperature exceedances documented
<b>Edie Creek</b> WQLS 2210 Headwaters to ML Creek	SKO10_02	Habitat Alteration	No	None	DEQ Policy
		Nutrients	No	Delist	No excess algal growth documented
		<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	Add	Temperature exceedances documented
		<b>Sediment</b>	Yes	None	

<b>Water Body Segment</b>	<b>Assessment Units of ID17040215</b>	<b>Pollutant</b>	<b>TMDL(s) Developed</b>	<b>Recommended Changes to 303(d) List</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>Fritz Creek</b> WQLS 2212 Forks to ML Creek	SK016_02	Nutrients	No	Delist	No excess algal growth documented
		<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	None	Temperature exceedances documented
<b>Horse Creek</b> Headwaters to mouth	SK015_02	<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	None	Temperature exceedances documented
<b>Indian Creek</b> Headwaters to Medicine Lodge Creek	SK003_02 SK003_03	<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	Add	Temperature exceedances documented
<b>Irving Creek</b> WQLS 2211 Headwaters to ML Creek	SK012_02 SK012_03	Habitat Alteration	No	None	DEQ Policy
		Nutrients	No	Delist	No excess algal growth documented
		<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	Add	Temperature exceedances documented
		<b>Sediment</b>	Yes	None	
<b>Medicine Lodge Creek</b> WQLS 2215 Spring Creek Hollow to Small, ID	SK0006_04	Flow Alteration	No	None	DEQ Policy
		<b>Sediment</b>	Yes	None	
		<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	None	Temperature exceedances documented
<b>Middle Creek</b> Headwaters to Medicine Lodge Creek	SK008_02 SK007-02 SK007_03	<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	Add	Temperature exceedances documented
<b>Warm Creek</b> Headwaters to Confluence	SK013_02 SK013_03	<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	Add	Temperature exceedances documented
Warm Springs Creek Headwaters to Sinks	SK020_02 SK020_03	Nutrients	No	Delist	No excess algal growth documented
		Sediment	No	Delist	Thermal spring so no violations of CWAL or SS, and depth fines already meeting target of 28%

<b>Water Body Segment</b>	<b>Assessment Units of ID17040215</b>	<b>Pollutant</b>	<b>TMDL(s) Developed</b>	<b>Recommended Changes to 303(d) List</b>	<b>Justification</b>
<b>Webber Creek</b> Headwaters to Medicine Lodge Creek	SK017_02	<b>Temperature</b>	Yes	Add	Temperature exceedances documented

Streams shown in bold are streams and pollutants for which a TMDL was developed.